

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/620,394 | 07/16/2003 | Mohammad Ali Kalbassi | 06295 USA | 2928 | |
| 23543 | 7590 01/12/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| AIR PRODU | JCTS AND CHEMICAL | SPITZER, ROBERT H | | | |
| PATENT DE | PARTMENT TON BOULEVARD | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | N, PA 181951501 | 1724 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 01/12/200 | c | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| t | | 15 |
|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/620,394 | KALBASSI ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Robert H. Spitzer | 1724 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with the | e c rrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | . 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for te, cause the application to become ABANDO | timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under | is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/s | awn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | accepted or b) \square objected to education drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sometion is required if the drawing(s) is | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. | nts have been received. Its have been received in Application or the second in the se | ation No ived in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summa | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/16/03.8/18/03.2/124. | Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | Date I Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 10/620,394

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of the legal phraseology of "means". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2,3,5,6,19 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 is indefinite because there is no direct antecedent basis for the recitation of "the undesired component", as there is no previous use of the word "undesired". Claim 5 is indefinite because there is no direct antecedent basis for the recitation of "the undesired components". Claim 19 is indefinite because it recites "having three adsorption zones" without any correlation to the "at least three parallel thermal swing zones" previously recited in claim 1. Claims 3 and 6 are indefinite because they depend from the above indefinite claims. Claim 24 is

Art Unit: 1724

indefinite because there is no direct antecedent basis for the recitation of "the undesired component". Claim 25 is indefinite because there is no direct antecedent basis for the recitation of "the valve means". Claim 26 is indefinite because of its referral to three separate previous claims, which makes the claim hard to follow and understand.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1,3-18 and 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by both the process and adsorption apparatus of Fuderer (5,958,109), wherein the TSA adsorption beds overlap on their adsorption steps, as shown in Fig. 2.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuderer (5,958,109) in view of either Kumar (5,571,309) or Kalbassi et al. (5,614,000) or Kalbassi et al. (5,846,295). The claims differ from the disclosure of Fuderer ('109) in the adsorption process and apparatus being used for the prepurification of feed air to a cryogenic air separation device. Kumar ('309) and either Kalbassi et al. reference ('000) or ('295), show that the feed gas to a cryogenic air separtion system can be prepurified by an adsorption process and apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/620,394

Art Unit: 1724

skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to utilize the TSA process and apparatus of Fuderer ('109) to prepurify the feed gas to a cryogenic air separation system, in view of the showing of either Kumar ('309) or Kalbassi et al; ('000) or Kalbassi et al. ('295), so that the parts of such cryogenic air separation device that are subjected to cold temperatures will no ice over.

- 8. The remaining references listed on the PTO-1449 and those cited on the PTO-892 show art of interest.
- 9. Applicants' response to this Office action should also include the following editorial changes: para. [0009], line 2, "than" should be inserted before "it"; para. [0010], line 1, "TSA TPSA" should be "TSA, TPSA"; para. [0016], line 4, "are typically are" should be either "are typically" or "typically are"; para. [0044], line 1, "out" should be inserted after "carried"; para. [0052], line 7, "a the" should be either "a" or "the"; para. [0058], line 11, "valves" should be "valve"; and, in claim 4, line 1, "absorbent" should be "adsorbent".
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H. Spitzer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from (5:30AM-4:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,394

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 11, 2005

Robert H. Spitzer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724 Page 5

January 11, 2005